

March 23, 1994

LB 76A, 76, 718, 808, 817A, 961, 971A, 981A
988A, 991, 1044, 1160A, 1222, 1222A, 1224, 1275A
1292

something about? And I think yes, I think it is. Only two hundred thousand dollars, it can be done, it's sends a very strong, clear message that this body, one, that engineering is a problem in Omaha, we want you to address it; two, by doing it this way we've said, no, we've shown some restraint for once, saying, no, we're not just going to spend, we're going to reprioritize. We need to do more of that. I think the amendment, though I preferred my original amendment, unfortunately the way things have happened, I don't think we can afford to do that. So I would ask...the very least we could do is address the problem this way.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Moore. We have a priority motion on the desk. Before we deal with that, Mr. Clerk, items for the record.

CLERK: I do, Mr. President. Thank you. Attorney General's Opinion, one to Senator Wesely, a second to Senator Schellpeper. And a third opinion to Senator Schellpeper, all to be inserted in the Journal. (Re. LB 808 and LB 1292.) Enrollment and Review reports LB 1222, LB 1222A, LB 1044, LB 718, LB 76A, LB 817A, LB 971A, LB 981A, LB 988A, LB 1160A, LB 1275A, and LB 961, those all reported to Select File. (See pages 1358-67 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have rules changes from Senator Will. Those will be referred to the Rules Committee, four different rules changes. And amendments to be printed, Senator Withem to LB 76, and Senator Dierks to LB 1224. (See pages 1367-69 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, priority motion. Senator Pirsch would move to reconsider the vote on the Moore amendment to the Ashford amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Pirsch, to open on your motion:

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Senator Hall, members of the body. I don't know if my ears were clogged, or I was asleep at the switch, but I misunderstood, not only Senator Moore's amendment, but I did misunderstand Senator Ashford's amendment from his opening speech. He was much clearer the second time. It was not the same amendment that I had previously looked at with Senator Ashford, and I did misunderstand it, and I apologize, Senator Ashford, for not paying closer attention. Senator